GPR55 regulates intraepithelial lymphocyte migration dynamics and susceptibility to intestinal damage
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Intraepithelial lymphocytes (IELs) of the small intestine are intimately associated with the epithelial cells. Yet, the factors controlling their migration and interaction dynamics are poorly understood. We demonstrate that GPR55, a receptor that mediates migration inhibition in response to lysophosphatidylinositol (LPI), negatively regulates T cell receptor γδ (TCRγδ) IEL accumulation in the small intestine. Intravital imaging studies show that GPR55-deficient IELs migrate faster and interact more extensively with epithelial cells. GPR55 also negatively regulates T cell homing to the small intestine and γδT cell egress from Peyer’s patches. GPR55 deficiency or short-term antagonist treatment protects from nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug–induced increases in intestinal permeability. These findings identify a migration-inhibitory receptor that restrains IEL–epithelial cell cross-talk and show that antagonism of this receptor can protect from intestinal barrier dysfunction.

INTRODUCTION

The small intestinal epithelium must achieve a balance between supporting absorption of nutrients and restricting systemic access of gut microbes. A large diversity of intestinal immune cells assist in achieving this balance in humans and mice. The intraepithelial lymphocytes (IELs) are the immune cells most intimately associated with the epithelial cells at a density of about 1 per 10 epithelial cells, and they have the most immediate influence on epithelial cell function (1, 2). In humans, IEL numbers increase under several conditions, including inflammatory bowel disease and celiac disease (2, 3). However, the impact of IEL accumulation on intestinal physiology remains to be fully understood.

In mice, IELs mostly express the CD8αα homodimer, and 40 to 60% are γδT cells (1, 3). Formation of the IEL and lamina propria (LP) lymphocyte (LPL) compartments is partially dependent on the chemokine receptor CCR9 and its ligand CCL25 (TECK) that is abundantly expressed by small intestine epithelial cells (4, 5). IELs migrate contiguously within the small intestine between the epithelium and the basement membrane and occasionally move into the lateral intercellular spaces between epithelial cells (6–8). This extensive migratory activity allows for surveillance of the epithelium (9). However, the factors controlling IEL migration dynamics are not fully defined.

In previous work, we found that the orphan G protein (heterotrimeric guanine nucleotide–binding protein)–coupled receptor GPR18 was required for the homeostasis of γδT IELs in the small intestine (5). GPR55 has sequence similarity to GPR18 (10), and we noted that GPR55 was also abundantly expressed in intestinal IELs (ImmGen.org). GPR55 was initially characterized as a cannabinoid-type receptor (11). However, further investigation revealed that lysophosphatidylinositol (LPIs) were more potent ligands (12, 13). GPR55 is expressed in a range of cell types beyond IELs, including myeloid cells and neurons (14, 15). In vitro and in vivo studies have suggested that GPR55 can couple to Gα13 to cause Rho activation and growth cone–repulsive effects (15–17). However, other studies have shown that GPR55 can couple to Gq/11 and that it can have promigratory effects (18–22). Therefore, it is possible that the receptor has multiple signaling capabilities and that the G protein coupling that occurs depends on the cell type. Although in vivo studies have shown that GPR55 regulates the targeting of axons (15), dendrite branching (20), neuropathic pain (23), and bone mass (24), the function of the receptor in immune cells is not understood.

Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), the most widely consumed pharmaceuticals in the world, are a cause of greater than 100,000 hospitalizations per year in the United States due to gastrointestinal (GI) complications (25, 26). In addition to gastric injury, there is an increasing appreciation that many patients suffer damage to the small intestine. Although administering proton pump inhibitors may improve gastric symptoms, there is evidence that they also exacerbate intestinal damage (25), making it important to identify other approaches to protect the small intestine. Deficiency in γδT IELs in mice leads to an increase in the sensitivity of the small intestine to NSAID (indomethacin)–induced injury and to increased pathogen breaching of the epithelial barrier (9, 27). These findings raise the question of whether increases in IELs can protect from NSAID-induced intestinal injury.

Here, we report that GPR55 acts as a migration-inhibitory receptor in IELs. GPR55-deficient mice have increased numbers of small intestinal γδT IELs, and these cells migrate more extensively in close association with the epithelium. GPR55 also acts as a negative regulator of T cell homing to the small intestine, and it antagonizes indomethacin-induced γδT cell egress from Peyer’s patches (PPs). We find that GPR55 deficiency or antagonism protects mice from indomethacin-induced intestinal leakage. Our data suggest that targeting GPR55 could be a method to augment IEL function in promoting the integrity of the small intestinal epithelium.

RESULTS

GPR55 restrains γδT IEL accumulation in the small intestine

Quantitative polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis on sorted cells revealed that GPR55 mRNA was abundantly expressed by all small intestine IELs, with the highest expression being in CD8αα T cell receptor γδ (TCRγδ) IELs (Fig. 1A). GPR55 was not detected in splenic TCRββ T cells, was expressed at a low level in splenic TCRγδ T cells, and was minimally expressed by intestinal epithelial cells (Fig. 1A).
GPR55 was also detected in intestinal dendritic cells (DCs) and macrophages, although at lower levels than in IELs (Fig. 1A). These observations and the lack of understanding about the cues controlling IEL migration dynamics led us to test the impact of GPR55 deficiency on IELs. In mice lacking GPR55, there was a selective increase in IEL γδT cell frequencies and numbers (Fig. 1, B and C). Reciprocally, there was a slight reduction in CD8β+ TCRαβ and CD4 TCRαβ IEL cell frequencies but no change in their number (Fig. 1C). A similar trend was seen for cell frequencies in the LP compartment (Fig. 1C).

Spleen and mesenteric lymph node (mLN) T cell frequencies were unaffected (fig. S1A). The procedure that we used for IEL isolation involves shaking the intestine in medium (5, 28), and although it isolates IEL at high purity, the yield may be lower than with techniques that use dithiothreitol (DTT) and/or EDTA treatment (29–31). When IELs and LPs were isolated with the DTT plus EDTA procedure, an increase in their frequency was observed in the GPR55 knockout (KO) intestine compared with control samples (fig. S1B). This technique yielded more total IEL and led to lower T cell representation in the LP compartment, possibly due to lower contamination by IEL. In summary, we show by two different isolation techniques that GPR55 deficiency leads to increased γδT IELs.

The fraction of γδT IELs expressing Vγ7, the major IEL Vγ, was unaltered by GPR55 deficiency, and the cells had similar expression of the maturation marker Thy1 and the integrin chains αE (CD103) and β7 (Fig. 1D). Thymic γδT cell frequencies were also not affected by GPR55 deficiency (Fig. 1E). When mice were maintained on bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU)–containing drinking water for 7 days, similar fractions of the control and GPR55 KO IELs became labeled, suggesting that the populations were turning over at similar rates (Fig. 1F). To test whether the role of GPR55 was hematopoietic cell–intrinsic, irradiated lymphocyte-deficient (RAG1 KO) mice were reconstituted with GPR55 KO or control bone marrow (BM). Examination after an 8-week reconstitution period showed a prominent overaccumulation of GPR55 KO γδT IELs, whereas other IEL populations were present in numbers equivalent to the controls (Fig. 1G). A similar experiment using a mixed BM transfer confirmed the intrinsic role of GPR55 in IELs (fig. S1C). Vγ7 usage and Thy1 maturation marker expression were again similar between KO and control cells (fig. S1D). Reconstitution of γδT and αβT cell subsets in the spleen was unaffected by GPR55 deficiency (fig. S1E). In a further experiment, we rescued GPR55 expression in GPR55 KO cells using retroviral gene transduction of BM cells. In RAG1 KO mice reconstituted with this BM, GPR55 KO γδT IELs were returned toward the normal range, whereas splenic T cell populations were not affected by GPR55 transduction (Fig. 1H). These data confirm that the increased IEL frequency in GPR55 KO mice and chimeras was due to loss of GPR55 and not due to an off-target effect.

Additional phenotypic analysis revealed comparable expression of the activation markers CD69, CD62L, CD44, and CD25 by GPR55-deficient IELs, as well as comparable low expression of interferon-γ (IFN-γ) and interleukin-17A (IL-17A) (fig. S1F). This analysis revealed that GPR55-deficient cells had reduced CCR9 expression in GPR55 KO mice and in mixed BM chimeras (fig. S1G). CCL25 mRNA levels in small intestine tissue did not show a difference between GPR55 KO and control mice (fig. S1G). To rule out the possibility that the difference in IEL frequency in GPR55 KO mice was dependent on altered CCR9 expression, we generated GPR55 CCR9 double-KO:WT mixed BM chimeras and compared them with CCR9 single KO:WT mixed BM chimeras. GPR55 deficiency led to an overrepresentation of γδT IELs that was at least as great as that observed on the CCR9-sufficient background (fig. S1H). Together, these data establish a cell-intrinsic role for GPR55 in restraining IEL accumulation in the small intestine.

**GPR55 transmits a migration-inhibitory signal in response to LPI**

Previous studies have shown that LPI can function as a ligand for GPR55 in nonlymphoid cells. Using GPR55-overexpressing WEHI231 cells in Transwell migration assays, we observed a dose-sensitive migration-inhibitory effect of LPI (a mixture of 16:0, 18:0, and 18:2 LPI) on cell migration in response to the WEHI231 cell chemoattractant CXCL12 (Fig. 2A and fig. S2A). No selective migration-inhibitory effect of LPI was observed on control WEHI231 cells transduced with an empty vector, even at doses of 10 μM (Fig. 2A). Consistent with GPR55 having a migration-inhibitory role, LPI had no promigratory effect on GPR55−/− WEHI231 cells when tested in the absence of CXCL12 (Fig. 2A). A comparison of different LPI isoforms revealed that the arachidonyl (20:4) form was the most potent inhibitor of GPR55+ cell migration (Fig. 2B).

Studies in nonimmune cell types have provided evidence that GPR55 can couple to Gα13 and Gαq/11 (11, 15, 16). We tested whether GPR55-mediated migration inhibition in lymphocytes was dependent on Gα13 using B cells from Gna13−/− MblCre mice that lack Gα13 (32). Lack of Gα13 in GPR55−/− transduced B lymphocytes resulted in loss of LPI-mediated migration inhibition (Fig. 2C). Although these data are not definitive regarding G protein coupling in IELs, they support the conclusion that Gα13 is required for GPR55-mediated migration inhibition in lymphocytes.

IELs express the chemokine receptor CXCR3 and migrate to its ligand CXCL10 (33), and we used this chemokine in some of our assays because of its robust activity. Although IELs were found to have high baseline motility in Transwell assays, CXCL10-induced augmentation in migration could readily be observed (Fig. 2D). When LPI was combined with CXCL10, IEL migration was dose-dependently inhibited (Fig. 2D). This migration-inhibitory effect could be overcome by addition of a GPR55 antagonist, CID16020046 (Fig. 2D). LPI also inhibited IEL migration to the CCR9 ligand CCL25, and this effect was lost when using cells from GPR55-deficient mice (Fig. 2E).

The inhibitory effect of LPI on migration was most potent for γδT IELs but was also observed with CD8αα and more weakly with CD8ββ TCRββ IELs (fig. S2B). The CXCL12−induced migration of splenic CD8+ and CD4+ TCRβ β T cells, whose endogenous GPR55 expression levels are low (Fig. 1A), was unaffected by LPI (fig. S2C).

Signaling via Gα13 causes activation of the small G protein Rho, and this can lead to phosphorylation of the ezrin-radixin-moesin (ERM) proteins by the Rho-effector kinase ROCK (34, 35). Treatment of GPR55-transduced WEHI231 cells with LPI led to an induction of phospho-ERM (pERM) that was not seen in the control cells (Fig. 2F). Exposure of IELs to LPI was sufficient to cause an elevation in pERM in wild-type (WT) CD8αα γδT IELs (Fig. 2F) but not in GPR55 KO CD8αα γδT IELs (Fig. 2F). Together, these findings provide evidence that GPR55 signaling in IELs activates a Gα13−/− Rho-mediated migration-inhibitory pathway.

Recent work demonstrated that LPI is abundant in the colon, cerebellum, liver, lung, spleen, and fat, although levels in the small intestine were not determined (36, 37). Using liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS), we detected multiple forms of LPI in the small intestine (Fig. 3A and fig. S3). The 18:0 LPI lipid species was the most abundant form, but 16:0, 18:1, and 20:4 LPI were all detected.
Fig. 1. GPR55 restrains IEL accumulation in the small intestine. (A) Gpr55 transcript abundance in the indicated cell subsets relative to Hprt. Each point indicates cells sorted from an individual mouse (except for intestinal DCs and macrophages that were sorted from pooled samples of 10 mice), and lines indicate means ± SEM. EC, epithelial cell. (B) Flow cytometric analysis of CD69 and TCRγδ expression by small intestinal IELs from the indicated mice. Number shows fraction of cells in the indicated gate. (C) Frequency of IELs and LPLs (left and center) and number of IELs (right) in the indicated mice. Each population was pregated on CD45+CD3ε+ cells. Each point represents data from an individual mouse, and lines represent means ± SEM. Left: GPR55 Het, n = 20; GPR55 KO, n = 15. Center: GPR55 Het, n = 13; GPR55 KO, n = 9. Right: GPR55 Het, n = 16; GPR55 KO, n = 12. (D) Expression of Vγ7, Thy1.2, β7 integrin, and CD103 (αE integrin) on CD8α+CD8β− GL3+ IELs from GPR55 Het and KO mice. (E) Percentage of GL3+ cells among the CD4 and CD8 double-negative thymocyte population (left) and the fraction of these cells that express Vγ7 (right). GPR55 Het, n = 7; GPR55 KO, n = 4. (F) Frequency of IELs of the indicated types that had incorporated BrdU after a 1-week labeling period. GPR55 Het, n = 6; GPR55 KO, n = 5. (G) Number (left) and frequency (right) of IELs of the indicated types in irradiated WT mice reconstituted with GPR55 Het or KO BM. GPR55 Het, n = 4; GPR55 KO, n = 4. Data are representative of two independent experiments. (H) Ratio of GPR55 or empty vector (EV)–transduced cells compared with untransduced cells of the indicated types in BM chimeras reconstituted with GPR55 KO BM transduced with the indicated retroviral constructs. Thy1.1 marks transduced cells. **P < 0.01, *P < 0.05, n.s. (not significant). P > 0.05 by Student’s t test.
in intestinal tissue (Fig. 3A). The LPI abundance in the small intestine was greater than in spleen. A 20:4 LPI concentration of 1800 ng/g of tissue, if all the weight of the tissue were assumed to be equally accessible to the lipid, corresponds to a concentration of ~2.8 μM. These data are in accord with the possibility that LPI concentrations in some interstitial microenvironments are in the range that has strong activity on the receptor.

Although LPI is the best-established ligand for GPR55, the receptor has been shown to respond to several other lipid types (14, 15). As a second approach to test for the presence of GPR55 ligands in the intestine, we used the migration inhibition technique as a bioassay.

**Fig. 2. GPR55 mediates lymphocyte migration inhibition via Gα13.** (A and B) Migration of GPR55 and control transduced WEHI231 cells to CXCL12 and the indicated amounts of LPI (mixture of 16:0, 18:0, and 18:2) (A) or 1 μM of the indicated types of LPI (B) in Transwell assays. (C) Migration of empty vector– or GPR55-transduced B cells that either express (Mb1Cre− Gna13f/f) or lack (Mb1Cre+ Gna13f/f) Gα13 to CXCL12 and the indicated amounts of 20:4 LPI. (D) Migration of γδ T IELs to vehicle or CXCL10 and the indicated amounts of LPI (16:0, 18:0, and 18:2) or the GPR55 antagonist CID16020046. (E) Migration of control (Het) or GPR55 KO γδ T IELs to vehicle or CCL25 in the absence or presence of 20:4 LPI. (F) Flow cytometric analysis of pERM levels in WEHI231 cells (top) or CD8αγδ T IELs from control (Het) or GPR55 KO mice (bottom) after treatment for 5 min with vehicle (DMSO) or 20:4 LPI (1 μM). Each experiment was performed in duplicate and repeated at least twice. Numbers inside plots indicate mean ± SEM. GPR55 Het, n = 3; GPR55 KO, n = 3.
Fig. 3. Detection of GPR55 ligand in the small intestine. (A) Abundance of the indicated forms of LPI in spleen (n=5) and small intestine (n=5) tissue determined by LC-MS/MS. (B and C) Bioassay measurement of relative GPR55 ligand activity in small intestine, colon, and spleen extract (B) and in small intestinal epithelial cell (SI-EC) culture supernatants (C). WEHI231 cells transduced with GPR55 were tested for their response to the tissue extracts in a Transwell migration assay. Extract at the indicated dilution was mixed with CXCL12 (100 ng/ml). Each experiment was performed in duplicate and repeated three times. (D) Histograms showing Thy1.1 reporter expression on intestinal and spleen DCs in mice that had been reconstituted with empty vector- or GPR55-transduced BM. (E to G) Summary data from mice of the type in (D), shown as the ratio of Thy1.1+/Thy1.1− cells of the indicated types within the intestine (E and F) and spleen (G). n=4 in each group. **P < 0.01, *P < 0.05, n.s. P > 0.05 by Student’s t test.
supernatants from cultured small intestinal epithelial cells were found to contain GPR55 ligand activity using the bioassay (Fig. 3C).

As a further probe for ligand activity in the intestine, we tested the impact of GPR55 overexpression on cell accumulation in the intestine using a BM transduction and irradiated recipient reconstitution approach. Comparing the frequency of control versus GPR55 overexpressing cells in the same animal, we observed a notable block in the accumulation of GPR55-transduced myeloid cells in the small intestine (Fig. 3, D and E). GPR55 transduction had a more modest impact on the IEL and LP CD8αγδT cell compartment in the BM chimeras, most likely because the receptor is already very highly expressed in these cells (Fig. 3F). There was no significant effect of GPR55 overexpression on the representation of T cells or myeloid cells in the spleen (Fig. 3G). These findings are in accord with the intestine producing GPR55 ligand(s) that can inhibit the accumulation of GPR55-overexpressing cells in this tissue.

**GPR55 limits γδT IEL migration in association with the small intestinal epithelium**

To examine IEL distribution in the small intestine, sections from control (GPR55 Het) and GPR55 KO mice were stained with antibodies against the TCRγδ (GL3) and CD8α to identify the T cells and against laminin to identify the basement membrane that separates the epithelium from the LP (Fig. 4A). DAPI (4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) staining of nuclei facilitated identification of the epithelial cell layer. Because the nuclei are situated close to the basolateral side of epithelial cells, the apical surface projects some distance beyond the DAPI signal. Enumeration of CD8αγδ TCRγδ cells showed that about 28% of the GPR55 Het γδT IELs were situated in close association with epithelial cells, defined as overlapping with or in the space between the fluorescence signal for the epithelial cell nuclei (Fig. 4, A and B, cells highlighted by yellow arrows), whereas most of the IELs were situated in a peribasement membrane space (PMS) (Fig. 4, A and B, cells highlighted by orange arrows). The frequency of GPR55 KO γδT cells in close association with epithelial cells was increased to 35% (Fig. 4, B and C).

The impact of GPR55 deficiency on γδT IEL association with epithelial cells was further studied by reconstituting IEL-deficient RAG1 KO mice with an equal mixture of control (GPR55 Het) TCRβ KO and GPR55 KO TCRβ KO TCRδ-eGFP (enhanced green fluorescent protein) BM (Fig. 4D). The use of TCRβ KO donor mice allowed selective identification of γδT cells using CD8α labeling in the real-time imaging experiments detailed below; the use of RAG1 KO recipients was necessary to avoid contamination of the donor IEL populations by radioresistant host IELs. When the positioning of control and KO γδT IELs in small intestinal sections was examined using TCRδ-eGFP expression to distinguish mutant and control cells, enrichment for GPR55 KO γδT IELs in association with epithelial cells was again observed (Fig. 4, E and F). In addition, flow cytometric analysis of tissues from these mice revealed a selective overaccumulation of GPR55 KO CD8αγδ T cells in the small intestine relative to their representation in the spleen (Fig. 4G). This overaccumulation was also evident in sections of the small intestine (Fig. 4, E and H). Together, these data establish that the influence of GPR55 on cell positioning in close association with epithelial cells is γδT cell–intrinsic, and they confirm the intrinsic role of the receptor in restraining γδT IEL accumulation in the intestine.

To determine how GPR55 influenced IEL migration dynamics, we used real-time two-photon microscopy. In our first approach, CD8αγδ T cells were intravitally labeled using anti-CD8α–PE (phycoerythrin) antibody as in our previous work (5). By this approach, we observed an altered CD8αγδ T migration path in mice lacking GPR55 (Fig. 5A and movies S1 and S2; the CD8α antibody was also bound by some large highly fluorescent cells in the LP). In particular, whereas GPR55 Het CD8αγδ T cells showed transient movements in close association with epithelial cells, GPR55 KO CD8αγδ T cells appeared to migrate for longer in a location overlapping with the epithelial cells. Similar findings were made in anti-CD8α–PE antibody–treated TCRβ KO mice where only γδT cells were present (Fig. S4) or when IEL behavior was examined in TCRδ-eGFP control and GPR55 KO TCRδ-eGFP mice in which the GFP+ cells were tracked (Fig. 5B and movies S3 and S4). To investigate this close association in more detail, we assembled movies from single z-plane images that most completely captured the TCRδ-eGFP+ cell at each time point (movies S5 and S6). Representative snapshots from GPR55 Het and GPR55 KO movies are shown in Fig. 5C. Movement in close association with the epithelium was observed as overlap with the fluorescence signal of the epithelial cell nuclei (labeled with Hoechst) (Fig. 5C, ovals in right upper panel). Epithelium probing–like movement, characterized by sharply angled movement into presumed lateral intercellular spaces, was observed similarly in GPR55 Het and KO cells (Fig. 5C, left two and right lower panels). These movies were taken near the tips of the intestinal villi, and in some cases, the tips were flattened by the overlying cover glass, the large amount of epithelium immediately above the plane of view may account for the apparent movement of some γδT cells into regions distant from the lateral epithelial cell layers.

To control for variability in the tissue preparation, we adopted a mixed BM chimeric approach to allow side-by-side tracking of control and KO cells. For this analysis, we reconstituted RAG1-deficient mice with a mixture of control (GPR55 Het) TCRβ KO and GPR55 KO TCRβ KO TCRδ-eGFP BM (Fig. 4D). The absence of CD8αγδ and CD8βδ TCRβ T cells in the mixed BM chimeras ensured that CD8αγδ T cells could be selectively detected using intravitral CD8α–PE antibody labeling and that control and KO cells could be distinguished on the basis of eGFP expression (Fig. 5D and movie S7). Single z-plane movies (movie S8) and snapshots (Fig. 5E) showed similar observations to those in TCRδ-eGFP mice. This analysis revealed that GPR55 KO γδT IELs had a higher migration speed than the control γδT cells (Fig. 5F). Comparison of the migratory tracks revealed that the GPR55 KO cells showed a higher percentage of their tracking time in close association with the epithelial cells and a corresponding increase in the duration of each epithelial exploration (Fig. 5G). There was no difference in the frequency of cells exhibiting lateral intercellular space probing–like movement (Fig. 5H). These data suggest that GPR55 functions to reduce the amount of IEL migration in close association with epithelial cells.

A previous study showed that treatment of mice with anti-CD3 caused up-regulation of IFN-inducible genes including Pkr and Usp18 within 3 hours, and this was suggested to reflect direct cross-talk between IELs and epithelial cells (38). In accord with the increased numbers of IELs and their increased overall association with the epithelial cells, anti-CD3 antibody treatment led to a stronger induction of Pkr and Usp18 in the intestine of GPR55 KO mice (Fig. 5I).

**GPR55 deficiency protects from indomethacin-induced intestinal permeability**

To test the impact of increased IEL association with epithelial cells in a disease setting, we examined NSAID-induced increase in intestinal permeability. This was done by measuring fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)–dextran leakage from the intestine into blood circulation 4 hours
after oral indomethacin gavage (27). Indomethacin pretreatment led to a marked (although variable) increase in FITC-dextran levels in the serum of control mice (Fig. 6A). GPR55-deficient mice suffered significantly less leakage of FITC-dextran into circulation than matched control mice (Fig. 6A). Oral treatment with the GPR55 antagonist CID16020046 versus carrier for 30 min also reduced the amount of intestinal leakage after indomethacin treatment (Fig. 6B). Reciprocally, IEL-deficient CCR9 KO mice that have a marked reduction in IEL numbers exhibited increased FITC-dextran leakage after indomethacin treatment (Fig. 6C). When mice entirely lacked γδT cells, indomethacin-induced intestinal leakage was increased, and GPR55 deficiency no longer conferred resistance to leakage (Fig. 6D). These data indicate
that GPR55 deficiency in γδ T IELs protects mice from indomethacin-induced intestinal damage.

One factor that contributes to maintaining intestinal barrier integrity is prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) (26, 39); however, PGE2 was similarly reduced by indomethacin in the intestine of control and GPR55 KO mice (fig. S5). Therefore, the reduced leakage in GPR55 KO mice is unlikely to be due to altered PGE2 production.

Immunofluorescence microscopy analysis showed that γδ T IELs were further increased above their already elevated numbers in GPR55 KO mice both in the PMS and in close association with epithelial cells (Fig. 5). Representative z-projection view of small intestinal villi of GPR55 Het (top) and KO (bottom) mice, showing CD8α-PE–labeled cells (red) and cell nuclei (Hoechst, blue). Lines show tracks of labeled cells. Images are representative from more than six mice in each genotype. Scale bars, 20 μm. (B) Representative z-projection view of small intestinal villi of TCRδ-eGFP+ GPR55 Het (top) and KO (bottom) mice, showing eGFP (green) and cell nuclei (Hoechst, blue). Lines show tracks of GFP+ cells. Circles and ovals in (A) and (B) show regions of tracks that are localized in close association with epithelial cells. Images are representative from more than seven mice in each genotype. Scale bars, 40 μm. (C) Representative snapshots from single z-plane movies. Left two panels from GPR55 Het and right two panels from GPR55 KO. Close association movement along epithelium observed as overlap of eGFP signal with the fluorescence signal of the epithelial cell nuclei labeled with Hoechst (circles in top right). Scale bars, 10 μm. (D and E) Representative multiple z-stack projection view (D) and single z-plane view (E) of small intestinal villi of mixed BM chimeras of the type described in Fig. 4D. Red cells are Het (red tracks), whereas red and green double-positive cells are KO (green tracks). Ovals in (D) (yellow in Het and orange in KO) show regions of tracks that are localized in close association with epithelial cells. Dashed white lines in (E) indicate the boundaries of the Hoechst-labeled epithelial cell nuclei (ECN) and the PMS used for the quantitation. Images are representative from six mice. Scale bars, 20 μm. (F) Speed of GPR55 Het and KO γδ T IELs in mixed BM chimeras. (G and H) Percentage of time in close association with epithelial cells and time of each association (G), frequency of lateral intercellular space (LIS) probing, and time of each probing (H) of GPR55 Het and KO cells in mixed BM chimeras. Combined data from six movies from six different chimeric mice. (I) Induction of Pkr and Usp18 mRNA in the small intestine of GPR55 Het and KO mice treated with anti-CD3 antibody (Ab) for 3 hours, plotted relative to Hprt. HPRT, hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase. Combined data from two independent experiments (n = 9 in each group). ***P < 0.001, **P < 0.01, *P < 0.05, n.s. P > 0.05 by Student’s t test.
after indomethacin treatment (Fig. 6, E and F, and fig. S6). The proportion of cells in close association with epithelial cells was not changed by the treatment (Fig. 6G). A smaller increase in IELs was observed in control mice, although this did not reach significance. Flow cytometric analysis of tissue from these mice showed similar findings for the KO mice but did now reveal an increase in the control group (Fig. 6H). The
basis for the discrepancy in the controls between the microscopy and flow cytometric analysis is unclear but might reflect differential recovery during tissue digestion or sampling of different regions of the intestine. In summary, indomethacin treatment leads to a rapid increase in γδ T IEL numbers in the small intestine, and the number of cells continued to be greater in GPR55-deficient than control mice. We suggest that both the greater γδ T IEL numbers and their increased association with the epithelium contribute to the protection from indomethacin-induced intestinal damage in the absence of GPR55 function.

**GPR55 represses Peyer’s patch γδ T cell egress and CD8 T cell intestinal homing**

The increased total γδ T IEL number in the small intestine 4 hours after indomethacin treatment led us to ask whether cells might be rapidly recruited from other site(s). We therefore examined γδ T cell distribution and found that indomethacin treatment led to a reproducible drop in CD8αα γδ T cells in PPs of KO but not control mice (Fig. 7A). There was also a slight trend for a reduction in CD8αα γδ T cells in the mLN at this time point, establishing that the cells lost from PPs were not accumulating in the mLN. CD8αβTCRαβ cell frequencies in PP, mLN, and blood were unaltered by the indomethacin treatment (Fig. S7A). A similar analysis in mixed BM chimeras showed that the KO γδ T cells became underrepresented in the PPs of indomethacin versus control-treated mice, establishing that the effect was γδ T cell–intrinsic (Fig. 7B). Although the selectivity of the PP effect on GPR55 KO mice and the low overall number of γδ T cells in this tissue indicated that it could not account for all the increase in γδ T IELs observed in indomethacin-treated mice, we considered that it might be a factor involved in the greater γδ T IEL increase in KO mice and therefore pursued the mechanism further.

The PP γδ T cell loss in indomethacin-treated GPR55 KO mice appeared to be due to egress from the PP because it could be prevented by pretreating with the S1PR1-modulatory and egress-inhibitory drug FTY720 (Fig. 7C). In Transwell migration assays, PP CD8αα γδ T cells, but not other PP αβ T cells or B cells, were inhibited in their migration to CXCL12 by LPI in a GPR55-dependent manner (Fig. 7D). Despite these findings indicating that GPR55-deficient cells were emigrating from PPs in an FTY720-sensitive manner, we were unable to detect an increase in CD8αα γδ T cell frequency in peripheral blood after indomethacin treatment of GPR55 KO mice or chimeras (Fig. 7, A and B). The explanation for this is unclear, but it is possible that the emigrating cells spend only very short amounts of time (minutes) in the circulation, as has been reported for some other cell types (40, 41). Consistent with cell egress from sites such as PPs contributing to the indomethacin-induced increase in GPR55 KO γδ T IELs, FTY720-pretreated control and KO mice no longer showed a significant difference in their accumulation of IELs in the intestine after indomethacin treatment (Fig. 7C).

Last, we considered whether endogenous GPR55 expression in T cells could limit their access from blood to the small intestine because this might be another way in which injury-induced intestinal accumulation of T cells could be negatively regulated by this receptor. Our initial efforts to test this question using adoptive transfers of control and GPR55 KO small intestine–derived IELs were unsuccessful because of the poor recovery of these cells after transfer. In a second approach, we asked whether the gut-tropism imprinting factor retinoic acid increased GPR55 expression in αβ T cells. CD8 T cell activation in the presence of retinoic acid caused the up-regulation of the intestinal homing receptors CCR9 and α4β7 (Fig. 7E and fig. S7B), as expected (42). Such culture conditions also led to up-regulation of Gpr55 in αβ T cells (Fig. 7E), although not to the level observed on IELs (Fig. 1A). Adoptive transfer of a mixture of WT and GPR55 KO cells from the retinoic acid culture conditions led to a marked enrichment of the KO CD8 T cells in the intestine compared with control cells 1 day after transfer and to a reduction in their representation in the spleen and blood (Fig. 7F). By contrast, GPR55 KO CD4 T cells were represented in the spleen and blood at a frequency similar to that in the injected cell population (Fig. 7F; the frequency of CD4 T cells in the intestine was too low to quantitate). To confirm that the CD8 T cell homing difference was due to the presence versus absence of GPR55, we repeated the experiment using cells that were cultured in retinoic acid and also transduced with GPR55. GPR55 transduction reversed the IEL accumulation effect observed for GPR55 KO CD8 T cells (Fig. 7G). These findings suggest that GPR55 may function to modulate effector T cell accumulation in the intestine under some conditions.

**DISCUSSION**

This study identifies GPR55 as a receptor regulating the migratory behavior of intestinal lymphocytes. The receptor acts to restrain the extent of interaction between γδ T IELs and intestinal epithelial cells, and it negatively regulates the accumulation of cells in the small intestine. We find that LPI, the best-established ligand for GPR55, is abundant in the small intestine and is capable of inhibiting IEL migration. Furthermore, we show that GPR55 deficiency protects from indomethacin-induced intestinal leakage, and our data suggest that this is a consequence of increased IEL–epithelial cell cross-talk. The activity of a GPR55 antagonist in protecting from indomethacin-induced intestinal leakage may have therapeutic relevance in the context of NSAID-induced and possibly other types of GI disease.

GPR55 has been demonstrated to signal via Gα13 and Gαo11 heterotrimeric G proteins (15–22). Our experiments reveal a prominent migration-inhibitory action of GPR55 in IEL and PP γδ T cells and GPR55-transduced lymphocytes, suggesting that Gα13 may be the prominent form of coupling in these cells. The loss of the migration-inhibitory effect of LPI in B lymphocytes lacking Gα13 is consistent with this conclusion, although a definitive test will require Gna13 deletion (43). ERM phosphorylation is also in accord with Gα13–mediated signaling to Rho and ROCK (43). ERM phosphorylation leads to the activation of these cytoskeletal regulatory proteins and has been associated with reduced cell migration (44).

GPR55 deficiency led to an increase in γδ T IELs, although not in CD8αα TCRαβ or CD8αβ TCRαβ IELs. The selectivity of the population size effect is in accord with γδ T cells expressing the highest amounts of GPR55 mRNA and being most strongly inhibited in their migration by LPI. Our cell transfer experiments show that GPR55 can negatively regulate cell migration from the blood to the small intestine, and this may be a contributory factor to the increase in numbers because γδ T IELs are maintained, in part, by influx of precursors from circulation (45). GPR55 may also normally restrain the egress of γδ T cells from some tissues, as we reveal for PPs after indomethacin treatment, and this could allow increased availability of cells for homing to the small intestine. Last, GPR55 might act as a growth-regulatory receptor in IELs similarly to the growth-regulatory activity of the Gα13–coupled S1PR2 receptor in germinal center B cells (32). Although we did not detect a significant increase in BrdU labeling of γδ T IELs, we...
did note a trend for increased incorporation of this nucleotide, a trend not seen in the other IEL populations in the same mice. Imaging studies have shown that IELs are highly motile, and they frequently move from beneath the epithelial cells to the lateral intercellular space between them (6–8). In accord with their movement supporting surveillance of the epithelium and defense against invaders, a recent study showed rapid movement of IELs into contact with epithelial cells that were being invaded by a bacterial pathogen (9). Moreover, bacterial infection was more severe if the γδT IELs were less able to interact with the epithelial cells because of occludin

Fig. 7. GPR55 regulates γδT cell egress from PP and homing of gut-tropic CD8 T cells to the small intestine. (A) Frequency of CD8ααγδ T cells in PPs, mLN, and peripheral blood lymphocytes (PBLs) of GPR55 Het (DMSO, n = 5; Indo, n = 8) and KO (DMSO, n = 4; Indo, n = 8) mice after treatment with carrier (DMSO) or Indo for 4 hours. (B) Ratio of CD45.2 GPR55 KO over CD45.1/2 GPR55 WT CD8ααγδ T cells in the indicated tissues of mixed BM chimeras 4 hours after treatment with DMSO or Indo (n = 4 in each group). (C) Percentage (left) or numbers (right) of CD8ααγδ T cells in the indicated GPR55 Het and KO mouse tissues after treatment for 4 hours with Indo and FTY720. Left: GPR55 Het, n = 9; GPR55 KO, n = 8. Right: GPR55 Het, n = 6; GPR55 KO, n = 9. (D) Migration of the indicated cell types from PPs of control and GPR55 KO mice to nil, CXCL12, or CXCL12 and 20:4 LPI in Transwell assays. Each experiment was performed in duplicate and repeated twice. (E) Expression of Ccr9 and Gpr55 mRNA on T cells cultured for the indicated days in the presence of anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 and with IL-2 (100 U/ml) from day 3 and in the absence or presence of retinoic acid (RA), plotted relative to Hprt (n = 4 in each group from two independent experiments). Bars indicate mean ± SEM. (F) Homing of CD8 T cell cultured with RA as in (E) to the spleen, small intestine, and blood, shown as the ratio of CD45.2 GPR55 KO cells to CD45.1 WT cells, 1 day after transfer. Data (n = 4 in each group) are representative of two independent experiments. (G) Homing of cells cultured as in (E) and transduced with empty or GPR55-containing vector, plotted as the ratio of transduced (Thy1.1 reporter-positive) to untransduced (Thy1.1 reporter-negative) cells of each type, 1 day after transfer. "Injected cells" in (F) and (G) indicates the ratio at the time of injection. Data (n = 3 in each group) are representative of two independent experiments. ***P < 0.001, **P < 0.01, *P < 0.05, n.s. P > 0.05 by Student’s t test (A to C, F, and G) or one-way ANOVA (E).
deficiency. Our work suggests that GPR55 acts to restrain the extent to which IELs move in close association with the epithelial cells. This restraint may be needed to ensure that normal functions of the epithelium are not interfered with. The resolution of our imaging analysis has not allowed us to discern the precise nature of the association between GPR55 KO cells and the epithelium, although it appears to not reflect positioning deep in the lateral intercellular space. The frequency with which cells showed the perpendicular movement associated with accessing the lateral intercellular spaces (7–9) was possibly not affected by GPR55 deficiency. We therefore suggest that GPR55 negatively regulates the extent of IEL association with the basolateral parts of the epithelial cells, whereas other cues control probing of the lateral intercellular space. Given the difference in amount of time spent closely associated with the epithelial cells, it will be of interest to determine whether any of the absorptive properties of the epithelium are altered by GPR55 deficiency. It will also be worthwhile to determine whether GPR55, by changing the balance of interaction between immune and epithelial cells, influences properties of the microbiome.

The mechanism of indomethacin-induced damage in the small intestine is not yet well understood but may in part involve direct drug-induced damage of epithelial cells (25, 26). Our studies suggest that GPR55 deficiency increases the resistance to indomethacin-induced intestinal leakage by increasing IEL association with the epithelium. This could be a consequence of both the increase in γδ T IELs in the intestine and the increased association of the cells with the epithelial cells. Increased densities of γδ T cell IELs in association with the epithelium are seen in the human intestine in celiac disease patients (2, 3). Although the increase in γδ T cell densities correlates with disease severity, it is not yet known whether the γδ T IELs have a negative or positive impact on disease symptoms. Our findings raise the possibility that reductions in GPR55 ligand abundance might contribute to the γδ T cell accumulation and tight association with the epithelium.

In summary, by identifying a role for GPR55 in regulating immune cell accumulation in the intestine and interaction with the epithelium, our studies point toward a previously unknown target for therapeutic manipulation to treat intestinal disease. Moreover, our findings that GPR55 is up-regulated in CDb T cells after activation under conditions of exposure to the gut imprinting factor retinoic acid, the expression of the receptor in some intestinal myeloid cells, and the ability of receptor overexpression to restrain cell homing to the small intestine lead us to suggest that GPR55 will have additional roles in controlling immune cell accumulation and function in the intestine beyond its actions in IELs.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Mice and reagents**

C57BL/6J (B6, CD45.2) and congenic B6 CD45.1+ mice were from the Jackson Laboratory, and these strains were intercrossed to generate B6 CD45.1/2 Fl1 mice. Gpr55−/− mice were generated as described (46) and were on a C57BL/6 background. Ccr9−/−, Rag1−/−, Tcrγδ−/−, Tcrβ−/−, and Tcrγδ-eGFP (TcrH2BegFP) mice were from the Jackson Laboratory. Gna13−/−B1Cre mice were as described in (32). To generate BM chimeras, CD45.1+ B6 mice were irradiated by exposure to 1100 rad of γ-irradiation in two doses 5 hours apart and intravenously injected with at least 2 × 10⁶ total BM cells from each genotype of mice, as indicated, and analyzed after 2 to 3 months. All chimeras appeared healthy at the time of analysis. Although the input cells were mixed at a 1:1 ratio, the reconstitution efficiencies of the different donor BMs were not always identical, a common occurrence in mixed BM chimera studies. Anti-CD3 (25 μg of LEAF anti-CD3ε: 2C11) was given intraperitoneally, and the small intestine (duodenum) was harvested for RNA preparation, as described (38). Animals were housed in a specific pathogen–free environment in the Laboratory Animal Resource Center at the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF), and all experiments conformed to ethical principles and guidelines approved by the UCSF Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

**Indomethacin and FITC-dextran treatment and measurement**

Indomethacin (Sigma-Aldrich) treatment was by oral gavage [15 mg/kg in 10% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO); control mice were treated with 10% DMSO], and FITC-dextran (average molecular weight, 3000 to 5000; Sigma-Aldrich) was given orally 1 hour later, as described (27). FTY720 (Cayman Chemical) treatment was with 1 mg/kg intraperitoneal injection 1 day before indomethacin treatment. CID16020046 oral administration [200 μl of 25 μM in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 0.25% of DMSO, Sigma-Aldrich] was 30 min before indomethacin administration. Mice were bled, and serum was prepared with serum separation tubes (BD Microtainer). FITC was detected with a SpectraMax M5 fluorometer (Molecular Devices) and SoftMax Pro Software (Molecular Devices). Instrument settings were as follows: excitation, 485 nm; emission, 538 nm; cutoff, 530 nm.

**Quantitative reverse transcription PCR**

Total RNA from tissues or sorted cells was extracted using an RNaseasy kit (Qiagen) and reverse–transcribed. Quantitative PCR was performed as described (47). Data were analyzed using the comparative cycle threshold (2−ΔΔCt) method using Hprt as the reference. The primers were as follows: Hprt, 5′-AGGTTGAAGCTTGCTGCT-3′ (sense primer) and 5′-TGAAGTACTCATATTAGTCAAGGGCA-3′ (antisense primer); Gpr55, 5′-GAGGAACCGCTTTATCTGAC-3′ (sense primer) and 5′-GGCAAGATGTTGATTTGTGAGA-3′ (antisense primer); Cdc25, 5′-TTTTGGCTGCTGTTGC-3′ (sense primer) and 5′-TGTAGCTGTGCTGTTACCC-3′ (antisense primer); Pkr, 5′-GGAGACGAGAAGTAAGGCCG-3′ (sense primer) and 5′-GGACGGTTTATTTTATCGA-3′ (antisense primer); Uspl8, 5′-TGGGCTCTGAAAGAAAACC-3′ (sense primer) and 5′-CGATTTGTGTAACCAACCA-3′ (antisense primer); Ccr9, 5′-CCATCAGCTCTCACGTAGTTC-3′ (sense primer) and 5′-GCAATAGCTGAGTAACCTGGAAGC-3′ (antisense primer).

**Cell preparations**

Splenocyte, thymocyte, and LN cell suspensions were prepared by mashing the organs through 70-μm cell strainers. IELs and LPLs were isolated as described previously (5). Briefly, PPs were removed, and then the small intestine was opened longitudinally and washed three times with PBS containing 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA), penicillin (100 U/ml), and streptomycin (100 μg/ml). The intestines were then shaken with prewarmed Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) containing penicillin, streptomycin, and 5% fetal calf serum (FCS) for 30 min at 225 revolutions per minute (rpm), 37°C. Supernatants were separated on a 30–40–80% Percoll density gradient (GE Healthcare), and the cells that layered between the 40 and 80% fractions were collected as IELs. After IEL isolation, tissues were washed in RPMI 1640 containing 5 mM EDTA and 5% FCS at 175 rpm and 37°C for 15 min. This step was repeated four times, and the supernatants were discarded. LPLs were then isolated after digestion in RPMI 1640 supplemented with
Antibodies and flow cytometry
Cells were stained using standard procedures for surface markers. The following monoclonal antibodies were used for flow cytometry: TCRβ, TCRβ (GL3; BD or BioLegend), TCRβ (H57; BioLegend), CD3ε (145-2C11; Tonbo Biosciences), CD4 (GK1.5; BioLegend), CD8α (53.6.7; Tonbo Biosciences), CD8β (H35; ebioscience), β2 integrin (M293; BD), Thy1.1 (OX-7; BioLegend), Thy1.2 (30-H12; BD), CD19 (6D5; BioLegend), EpCAM (G8.8; BioLegend), CD11b (M1/70; Tonbo Biosciences), CD11c (N418; Tonbo Biosciences), F4/80 (BM8.1; Tonbo Biosciences), MHCII (major histocompatibility complex II) I-A/I-E (M5/114.15.2; ebioscience), MHCII I-Ab (Ab6-120.1; BioLegend), B220 (RA3-6B2; Tonbo Biosciences), CD45.1 (A20; BioLegend), CD45.2 (104; BioLegend), CD69 (H1.2F3; BioLegend), CD62L (MEL-14; BioLegend), CD44 (IM7; BD), CD25 (PC61; BioLegend), IFN-γ (XMG1.2; BD), and IL-17A (eBio17B7; ebioscience). Vγ7 antibody was provided by P. Pereira (Institut Pasteur, Paris, France). Dead cells were excluded using Fixable Viability Dye eFluor 780 (ebioscience). For fluorescence-activated cell sorting, subpopulations of gut CD45+ CD3ε− CD8α+ T cells were gated as follows: CD8α+CD8β+ GL3− TCRβ+, CD8α+CD8β+ GL3− TCRβ−, CD8α+CD8β+ GL3− TCRβ+, CD8α+CD8β+ GL3− TCRβ−, and CD8α+CD8β+ GL3− TCRβ+. IELs were more than 95% pure. Epithelial cells were isolated as CD45+ EpCAM+ viable cells at 80 to 90% purity. Small intestine LP CD103+ CD11b+ DCs and CD103+ CD11b+ macrophages were pre gated on CD3ε+ B220+ CD11c+ MHCIIR and then isolated as CD103+ CD11b+ and CD103− CD11b+F4/80− at 90% purity, respectively. For BrDU incorporation assay, mice were given water containing BrDU (0.5 mg/ml) for 7 days. Staining was performed with a BrDU flow kit (BD) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For pERM staining, cells were starved for 30 min, then treated with LPI for 5 min, fixed at a final concentration of 1.5% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 10 min at room temperature (21° to 23°C), and permeabilized in ice-cold methanol. Cells were washed twice in staining buffer, blocked with Fc-block (2.4G2; BioXCell) and 5% normal goat serum for 20 min at room temperature, and stained for 45 min at room temperature for pERM (antibody name; Cell Signaling Technology), followed by goat antibody to rabbit immunoglobulin G conjugated to Alexa Fluor 647 (A21245; Invitrogen) and, in the case of IEL, with appropriate surface markers. For intracellular staining, harvested IELs were stimulated with phorbol myristate acetate (100 ng/ml) and ionomycin (1 μg/ml) for 4 to 5 hours in the presence of GolgiPlug (brefeldin A, BD). After culture, cells were stained for surface markers, then fixed and permeabilized with Cytofix/Cytoperm (BD), and stained for intracellular cytokines. Flow cytometric analysis was performed using a BD LSR II. Sorting was carried out with a BD Aria instrument.

Cell transfers, migration assays, and bioassays
For transfers of T cells activated under conditions promoting gut tropism, T cells from LNs of each genotype were stimulated with plate-bound CD3 and CD28 plus retinoic acid (100 ng/ml) (Sigma-Aldrich) for 3 days and then resuspended in complete RPMI 1640 medium with IL-2 (100 U/ml) plus retinoic acid (100 ng/ml). At day 5, cells were harvested and transferred into WT recipients. In some cases, cells were transduced with GPR55 or control retroviruses at days 2 and 3 of culture. Transwell migration assays were with 5-μm Transwells and were performed as described previously (5) using 106 IELs prepared by the Percoll density gradient method above or with the indicated cell types. Sigma LPI (catalog #62966) contained a mixture of C16:0 (58%) and CI8:0 and CI8:2 (42%) forms of LPI. Avanti LPI was either 16:0, 18:0, 18:1, or 20:4 (sn-1). CCL25 was from MyBioSource, and CXCL10 and CXCL12 were from PeproTech. The bioassay for GPR55 ligand activity was performed as described (48), with modifications. GPR55-transduced WEHI231 cells were used, and the tissue extract was mixed with CXCL12 (100 ng/ml), as indicated.

Immunofluorescence
Cryosections of 7 μm were fixed and stained as previously described (5) after fixation with 4% PFA. Staining was with the following antibodies: laminin (Invitrogen), CD8α (53.6.7; Tonbo Biosciences), TCRβ (GL3; BD or BioLegend), and DAPI (Invitrogen). Images were all acquired with AxioObserver Z1 microscope (Carl Zeiss).

Intravital two-photon microscopy
Control and GPR55 KO mice on a nontransgenic, TCRβ-eGFP transgenic, and/or TCRβ KO background, and mixed BM chimeras, were intravenously injected with 10 μg of anti-CD8α–PE (BioLegend) 4 hours before imaging. After anesthetization, mice were injected intravenously with Hoechst 33342 dye (Invitrogen), and the middle region of the small intestine (jejunum or proximal ileum) was exposed and opened along the antimesenteric border with a cauteter tool (Bovie high-temperature cautery pen, fine tip, ref AA01, Moore Medical) to expose the mucosal side. The tissue was immobilized on thermal dough using 3M Vetbond Adhesive (World Precision). Then, a ring with a coverslip and metal pins was put on the mucosal side to stabilize the observation field (8). Images were acquired with a ZEN 2012 (Carl Zeiss) using a 7-MP two-photon microscope (Carl Zeiss) equipped with a Chameleon laser (Coherent). Excitation wavelength was 890 nm. Images were acquired by taking 9- to 12-μm z-stacks at 3-μm steps every 20 s. Each xy plane spans 512 x 512 pixels. Videos were made and analyzed with Imaris 7.4 x64 (Bitplane). Because of difficulties in automatic tracking from the high background of CD8αPE and eGFP signals and overlapping paths, manual tracking was performed. Images for single z-plane movies were selected using MetaMorph software (version 7.7.0.0; Molecular Devices), with the z-plane chosen at each time point as the one that captured most clearly the IEL being tracked, and then movies were generated with Imaris software.

Retroviral transduction
Cells (WT or GPR55−/−) were retrovirally transduced (32) with MSCV2.2 retroviral vectors containing GPR55 or empty vector and an IRES (internal ribosome entry site)–Thy1.1 reporter. Virus was produced using PatE cells grown in DMEM plus 10% FCS plus P/S plus 10 mM Hepes plus Q(Glu) (without P/S during transfection). For B cells (Gna13−/− Mb1Cre), WT splenic B cells were activated with anti-CD180
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(0.25 mg/ml) (RP-105; clone RP14, BD Biosciences) and cultured for 24 hours. The activated B cells were spin-infected for 2 hours with retroviral supernatant and cultured overnight before use in migration assays. T cells were transduced at days 2 and 3 of culture with anti-CD3, anti-CD28, IL-2, and retinoic acid, as described above. BM cells were harvested 4 days after 5-fluorouracil (Sigma-Aldrich) injection and cultured in the presence of recombinant IL-3, IL-6, and mouse stem cell factor (100 ng/ml; PeproTech). BM cells were spin-infected twice with a retroviral construct expressing GPR55 and an IRES−Thy1.1 cassette as a reporter. One day after the last spin infection, cells were injected into lethally irradiated C57BL/6 recipients.

**LC-MS/MS analysis**

Samples of snap-frozen tissue were placed into homogenization tubes containing seven homogenizer beads, and 10 volumes of double-distilled water (ddH2O) was added. For example, 150 μl of ddH2O was added to 15 mg of tissue. Tissue samples were homogenized using a Precellys 24 homogenizer with a Cryolys cooling unit (Bertin Technologies). Samples were homogenized using cycle #1 [three cycles (20 s each), 5000 rpm, with 30-s breaks]. After homogenization, 20 μl of sample was transferred to a 1.5-ml Eppendorf tube. Twenty microliters of water and 20 μl of internal standard solution (100 ng/ml stock of 17:1 LPI) were added, and the tube was vortexed. One hundred microliters of methanol was then added, and the tube was vortexed and then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min in a cooled centrifuge. The supernatant was transferred to a new tube and centrifuged again, as before. The resulting supernatant was transferred to a high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) tube. Samples (10 μl of volume) were injected into a Shimadzu Nexera X2 HPLC equipped with LC-30AD pumps and a SIL-30AC autosampler. Separation was achieved on a Phenomenex Prodigy ODS-3 column (150 × 4.6 mm, 5μm;00F-4907-E0) using an isocratic flow of mobile phase consisting of 24% mobile phase A (100% H2O) and 74% mobile phase B [70%/30% (v/v) ACN/H2O containing 0.7 mM ammonium formate (NH4HCO3) for 7.5 min at a total flow rate of 1 ml/min. Mass spectrometric detection was performed using an AB SCIEX QTRAP 6500 mass spectrometer. LPI species were detected using multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) in negative ion mode using the transitions (mass/charge ratio) 571.3→255, 599.2→283, 597.2→281, 619.2→303, and 583.2→267.1 for 16:0 LPI, 18:0 LPI, 18:1 LPI, 20:4 LPI, and 17:1 LPI (internal standard), respectively. The ion source temperature was maintained at 660°C. Curtain gas (CUR), collision gas (CAD), gas 1 (GS1), and gas 2 (GS2) were set at 20, −3, 60, and 60, respectively. The entrance potential was set at −10 V. The declustering potential and collision cell exit potential were −50 and −10 V, respectively. Collision energy was −35 eV for all compounds. Standard curves were generated using LPI reference standards purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids. Quantitation was performed on the basis of the peak area ratio. Data acquisition and quantitation processing were accomplished using the Applied Biosystems Analyst version 1.6.2 software. For PGE2 analysis, PGE2 (MMR: 351.2→271; 363-24-6; Cayman Chemical) was used to generate a standard curve, and PGE2-d4 (MMR: 355.2→275; 34210-10-1) was used as an internal standard. The same column and an isocratic flow of 47% mobile phase A and 53% mobile phase B for 4.2 min at a flow rate of 1 ml/min were used.

**Statistical analysis**

Prism (version 5.0a; GraphPad) software was used for all statistical analyses. Two-tailed, unpaired Student’s t tests or paired t tests were performed when comparing only two groups, and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Bonferroni’s post hoc test for the indicated comparisons was performed when comparing one variable across multiple groups. P values less than 0.05 were considered significant. In graphs, horizontal lines indicate means, and error bars indicate SEM.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS**

immunology.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/2/18/eaao1135/DC1

Fig. S1. Real-time imaging of CD8 z-eGFP IEL migration in the small intestine of a Rag1−/− mouse reconstituted with a mixture of Gpr55−/−, Tcrβz−/− and Gpr55−/− Tcrβz−/− TCRI-eGFP BM.

Movie S4. Real-time imaging of GPR18 is required for a normal CD8 TCR−β− IEL migration in the small intestine of a Rag1−/− mouse reconstituted with a mixture of Gpr55−/−, Tcrβz−/− and Gpr55−/− Tcrβz−/− TCRI-eGFP BM. (single z-plane movie).

Movie S6. Real-time imaging of TCRI-eGFP IEL migration in Gpr55−/−−/− small intestine (single z-plane movie).

Fig. S7. Frequencies of conventional T cells in PPs, mLN, and blood after indomethacin treatment and induction of gut homing receptors on cultured CDB T cells.
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GPR55 regulates intraepithelial lymphocyte migration dynamics and susceptibility to intestinal damage
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Restraining intestinal lymphocyte migration

Migration of intraepithelial lymphocytes (IELs) is controlled by several factors. Here, Sumida et al. report that G protein–coupled receptor 55 (GPR55) negatively regulates IEL migration in the small intestine. The authors show that lysophosphatidylinositols (LPIs), a class of ligands active on GPR55 in vitro, are abundant in the small intestine. In response to indomethacin-induced intestinal leakage, the authors documented that GPR55-deficient mice recruited greater numbers of IELs and were more resistant to intestinal injury. In addition to establishing the role of GPR55 in IEL migration, the study underscores the importance of IELs in maintaining the integrity of the gut epithelial barrier.